	Case 2:23-cr-00161-DAD Document	77 Filed 04/02/25	Page 1 of 2
1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7			
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
10			
11	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	No. 2:23-cr-0016	1-DAD-1
12	Plaintiff,	ORDER DENYIN	G WITHOUT PREJUDICE
13	v.	NOTICE UNDER	ILE MOTIONS AND SEAL
14	ROBERT GODINEZ,	(Doc. Nos. 70, 71,	72)
15	Defendant.		
16			
17	Defendant has requested that he be authorized to file under seal his motions to dismiss for		
18	speedy trial violation and to suppress evidence, as well as his notice of a defense "so that the		
19	confidential personal information" contained therein "is not available on the public docket."		
20	(Doc. Nos. 70, 71, 72.) Because the requests are not sufficiently supported or limited, they will		
21	be denied without prejudice.		
22	All documents filed with the court are presumptively public. San Jose Mercury News,		
23	Inc. v. U.S. Dist. Court, 187 F.3d 1096, 1103 (9th Cir. 1999). "Historically, courts have		
24	recognized a 'general right to inspect and copy public records and documents, including judicial		
25	records and documents." Kamakana v. City & Cnty. of Honolulu, 447 F.3d 1172, 1178 (9th Cir.		
26	2006) (quoting Nixon v. Warner Commc'ns, Inc., 435 U.S. 589, 597 & n.7 (1978)).		
27	Here, defendant has failed to overcome this presumption in his requests. A cursory		
28	review of the two motions and notice that defendant wishes to file under seal reveals that		
	1		

Case 2:23-cr-00161-DAD Document 77 Filed 04/02/25 Page 2 of 2

defendant's stated concern can be adequately addressed by redaction or by the filing under seal of a supplement to the motions and notice rather than by wholesale sealing of the entire documents. In this regard, the court notes that defendant's substantive filing are in large part devoted to briefing with respect to the legal standards governing the motions and/or notice. In addition, other straightforward factual background is recounted in those filings. There is no need to file such briefing under seal in order to guard against the concerns raised by defendant. The court therefore declines to litigate these issues entirely under seal and in secret. Defendant may proceed by seeking redaction of the documents as they will appear on the public docket or by filing his motions and/or notice on the public docket and then seeking to supplement them with a filing that he requests be filed under seal.

For the reasons explained above, defendant's requests that his motions to dismiss for speedy trial violation and to suppress evidence, as well as his notice of a defense, be filed under seal (Doc. Nos. 70, 71, 72) are denied without prejudice.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: **April 1, 2025**

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE